

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,814	01/13/2004	James J. Spilker JR.	RSM051001	1515
	7590 10/04/200 OF RICHARD A. DU	EXAMINER		
343 SOQUEL AVENUE			FOTAKIS, ARISTOCRATIS	
SUITE 311 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95062		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2611		
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	. 10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

c	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/756,814	SPILKER, JAMES J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aristocratis Fotakis	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reposition. Ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09/10/2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 20</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	on and/or election requirement.	,			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority do					
	ocuments have been received in Ap	• •			
	the priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived			
	or a list of the continue sopies het is	0001704.			
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	0-948) Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The nature of Applicant's invention relates to symbol clock recovery in ATSC DTV receiving apparatus. In order for this function to occur one of the requirements of independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 is to have a delay unit adapted to delay the baseband signal and a multiplier for multiplying the baseband signal with the delayed baseband signal. In reviewing the specification, figure 15 demonstrates the delay apparatus for recovering the clock signal for double sideband signals where its specifically mentioned that the delay apparatus does not apply to signals such as the ATSC DTV signal (single-sideband signal) (Paragraphs 0152 – 0153) and it would be impossible to generate the 10.76 MHz symbol clock using this technique (Paragraph 0154, page 10). Figure 18 shows an ATSC DTV receiving apparatus using the above technique. There is no guidance in the specification to allow of one of skill in the art to Application/Control Number: 10/756,814 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

use the delay apparatus in an ATSC DTV receiver for symbol clock recovery, since no information was provided on how to overcome the above problems. Since the specification could not provide any information on how to use the delay apparatus on an ATSC DTV receiver, it would be unpredictable to practice Applicant's claimed invention and therefore require an undue amount of experimentation to make and use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 1 - 2, 5 - 7, 10 - 12, 15 - 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley et al. (US 6,483,885) in view of Scarpa et al. (US 5,673,293).

Re claim 1, 6, 11 and 16 Bradley teaches of a method executed by a computer (Col 9, Lines 10 – 24) and apparatus for recovering a symbol clock signal, the apparatus comprising: a downconverter (#14, RF unit, Fig.1) adapted to coherently downconvert the signal to a baseband signal (Col 3, Lines 54 – 56); a delay unit (#42, Fig.3) adapted to delay the baseband signal (Col 4, Lines 60 –67 to Col 5, Lines 1 – 14); a multiplier (#40, Fig.3) adapted to multiply the baseband signal and the delayed baseband signal (Col 5, Lines 1 – 14); a phase-locked loop (#32, synchronizer corrector, Fig.3) to generate the symbol clock signal based on an output of the bandpass filter (Col 4, Lines 28 – 36 and Lines 50 – 59). However, Bradley does not teach of the use of a band-pass filter before the phase-locked loop.

Scarpa teaches of a receiving apparatus to demodulate QAM and VSB signals. The QAM demodulator comprises of a tuner module (#110, downconverter, Fig.2), a QAM filter circuit (#220) and a timing recovery circuit (#240) (Col 8, Lines 11 – 14). The QAM filter circuit (#220) includes a Nyquist filter (#222,Fig.2) i.e., a matched complex passband filter that is used for pulse shaping the digital television signal output by the tuner module (#210)(Col 4, Lines 50 – 53 and Col 10, Lines 29 - 34). The output by the Nyquist filter is applied to the inputs of the timing recovery circuit (#240, Fig.2) (Col 10,

Art Unit: 2611

Lines 57 - 63) wherein a phase-locked loop is used to generate the symbol clock signal (Col 5, Col 26 – 56 and Col 11, Lines 6 – 11).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a bandpass filter after the delay multiplication circuit in order to be sent to the phase locked loop without any noise that would alter the symbol clock recovery.

Re claim 2, 7, 12 and 17, Bradley and Scarpa teach the limitations of claims 1, 6, 11 and 16. However, Bradley does not teach of the receiver being adapted to receive the ATSC DTV signal.

Scarpa teaches of demodulation of both QAM and VSB signals, (QAM and VSB ATV signals). It should be noted that advanced television (ATV) system is defined by the ATSC Standard A/53.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the receiver to be adapted to receive the ATSC signal where the timing recovery of every QAM, QPSK, ATSC is essential and do not differ with each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,814 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Re claim 5,10, 15 and 20, Bradley teaches of an analysis unit (synchronizer, Fig.1) adapted to determine for the symbol clock signal of the clock offset (Abstract, Lines 11 – 20).

Claims 3, 8, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley and Scarpa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kuntz et al. (US 6,366,621).

Bradley and Scarpa teach all of the limitations as discussed above as in claims 1, 6, 11 and 16, except of the downconverting stage having a filter to pass the pilot and a mixer to mix the pilot with the signal.

Kuntz teaches of a method of estimating the phase of an electrical signal and more specifically to estimating the phase of a pilot tone or sinusoid embedded in a wideband digital signal modulating a radio frequency (RF) carrier signal (Col 1, Lines 6 – 10) for ATSC (Col 1, Lines 25 – 40). The IF signal (r(n), Fig.5) is processed through the pilot phase estimator (#130, Fig.5) for determining the phase of the pilot tone or IF carrier. The block of signal samples is sufficient to perform narrow band filtering (#154, Fig.7B) around the pilot signal and remove undesired digital modulation data for this process. The resultant phase value is combined with counter-rotating vector values in mixer (#132) and applied to a complex mixer (#134, Fig.5) for down converting the IF signal r(n) to baseband complex data values (x(n), Fig.5) (Col 8, Lines 12 – 17 and Col 10 Lines43 – 67to Col 11, Lines 1 – 19, Fig.5 and 7B).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the downconverter of Kuntz in ATSC receiver where the pilot filter would pass the pilot by removing undesired digital modulation data that would act as a phase tracker to eliminate phase drift in the demodulated signal and a mixer that would downconvert the IF signal to baseband.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has submitted regarding the 112 claim rejections that the signal fed to the delay-and multiply circuit is a QAM signal.

The Examiner submits that the specification does not describe a QAM signal entering the delay-and-multiply circuit of Fig.18. Applicants specification does not provide for one skilled in the art to use the delay-and multiply circuit in an ATSC DTV receiver for symbol clock recovery where no information is provided on how to overcome the above problems of Fig.15.

Applicant has submitted regarding the 103 claim rejections that Bradley does not teach of an ATSC DTV signal and Scarpa does nothing to remedy this defect.

The Examiner submits that Bradley teaches of DQPSK and Scarpa teaches of QAM and VSB ATV signal as discussed in more detail in the claim rejections above

Art Unit: 2611

where QAM, DQPSK, QPSK and VSB are well known television broadcast modulation methods.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristocratis Fotakis whose telephone number is (571) 270-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,814

Art Unit: 2611

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AF

CHIEH M. FAN
CHIEH M. FAN
CHIEH M. FAN
CHIEF PATENT EXAMINER